

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/617,614	07/11/2003	Viacheslav Ivanovych Adamchuk	16363-US	2903
7590 06/15/2005			EXAMINER	
Williams M. Dixon			DAVIS, OCTAVIA L	
Patent Department DEERE & COMPANY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
One John Deere Place Moline, IL 61265-8098			2855	
			DATE MAILED: 06/15/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/617,614	ADAMCHUK ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Octavia Davis	2855			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replement of the provision of	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) if will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fitte, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>5/9/</u>	/05.				
•	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6 and 7 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3, 5 and 8 -11 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 4 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 7/11/03 is/are: a) and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The specification is objected to be specification.	ccepted or b) objected to by education of the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ction is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) <u>□</u> a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applic ority documents have been rece au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage			
2) Notice 3) Infor	ot (s) Coe of References Cited (PTO-892) Coe of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Coe of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Coe of Draftsperson's Patent (s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Coe of No(s)/Mail Date 12/6/04.	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/617,614

Art Unit: 2855

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last

Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. The indicated allowability of claim 11 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered references to Upadhyaya et al. Rejections based on the newly cited references follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 5 and 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Upadhyaya et al.

Regarding claim 1, Upadhyaya et al disclose soil profile force measurement using an instrumented tine comprising a soil engaging tillage implement 10, load cells 22 mounted to the soil engaging implement that determine a linear trend of topsoil resistance pressure change with depth as the implement is drawn through the soil and that measure torque on

Page 3

Art Unit: 2855

the implement (see Col. 4, lines 50 - 67 and Col. 5, lines 1 - 9 and 19 - 41, See Figs. 1 and 5).

Regarding claim 2, the implement 10 has an upper end mounted to a support structure 12, a lower end 18, a point for engaging the soil, a leading edge 16 and a protecting member 14 mounted to the leading edge (See Col. 4, lines 50 – 67).

Regarding claim 3, two of the sensors 22 are interposed between the protective member 14 and the leading edge 16 (See Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 5, the sensors 22 are mounted to the implement at different depths (See Cols. 5 and 20, lines 13 - 29 and 20 - 27).

Regarding claims 8, 10 and 11, the linear trend of topsoil resistance pressure change with depth and the torque on the soil engaging implement 10 are used to determine a measured and a predicted mechanical soil resistance to penetration applied to the point and the difference between the two values is an input for tillage depth adjustment (See Col. 6, lines 23 - 61, Col. 8, lines 1 - 12 and Col. 12, lines 53 - 61).

Regarding claim 9, a linear distribution of soil resistance pressure is calculated from a free body diagram based on load cell and depth measurements where y is the vertical coordinate with respect to the tip of the point. (See Col. 8, lines 18 - 64).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,614 Page 4

Art Unit: 2855

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to these claims have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Octavia Davis at telephone number (571) 272 - 2176. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursdays (9:00 - 5:00), Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz, can be reached on (571) 272 - 2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872 – 9306.

DD

OD/2855

6/6/05